



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/709,162	11/10/2000	Guillermo J. Tearney	187718/US - 475387-00245	3219
30873 7590 12/07/2011 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP - NEW YORK ATTENTION: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - PATENT DOCKET 51 WEST 52ND STREET NEW YORK, NY 10019-6119			EXAMINER KISH, JAMES M	
			ART UNIT 3737	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 12/07/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ny.patent.docketing@dorsey.com
hollingsworth.miesha@dorsey.com
dufault.kim@dorsey.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/709,162 00245	10 November 2000	TEARNEY ET AL.	187718/US - 475387-

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP - NEW YORK
ATTENTION: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - PATENT DOCKET
51 WEST 52ND STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10019-6119

EXAMINER

JAMES KISH

ART UNIT

PAPER

3737

20111201

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This correspondence is to correct the erroneous claim numberings within Section (9) "Grounds of Rejection" in the Examiner's Answer dated November 29, 2011.

At the bottom of page 4, the 102(b) rejection as being anticipated by Kittrell should read:

(Updated)

Claims 68, 70-72, 74, 81-82, 84-87, 89-94, 101-102, 104-107, 109-116, 118-128, 130, 137-140, 147-148, 152-154, 156-157 and 161-162 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kittrell et al. (US Patent No. 5,318,024) – herein referred to as Kittrell.

The previous erroneous rejection heading stated (with astericks used to highlight changed numberings):

Claims **68-75**, 81-82, 84-87, **89-95**, 101-102, 104-107, 109-116, 118-128, 130, 137-140, 147-148, **152-157** and 161-162 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kittrell et al. (US Patent No. 5,318,024) – herein referred to as Kittrell.

Also, in the middle of page 6, the 103(a) rejection as being unpatentable over Kittrell in view of Olinger should read:

(Updated)

Claims 88, 108, 117, 129, 131-136, 141 and 159-160 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kittrell in view of Olinger et al. (US Patent No. 3,941,121) – herein referred to as Olinger.

The previous erroneous rejection heading stated (with astericks used to highlight changed numberings):

Claims 88, 108, 117, 129, 131-136, 141 and **158-160** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kittrell in view of Olinger et al. (US Patent No. 3,941,121) – herein referred to as Olinger.

It is noted that this is not a New Ground of Rejection. These claims were cancelled in the After Final Amendment dated April 6, 2011 and entered in the Advisory Action dated April 26, 2011. The Examiner mistakenly did not adjust the claim numbers in these rejection headings in view of these claim cancellations.

